arXiv:1203.1807v2 [math.DS] 11 Aug 2012

Volume-preserving normal forms of Hopf-Zero singularity

Majid Gazor*, Fahimeh Mokhtari

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Isfahan University of Technology
Isfahan 84156-83111, Iran

February 25, 2013

Abstract

A practical method is described for computing the generators of the algebra of first integrals that works well for a large family of Hopf-Zero singularity systems. A Lie algebra description is presented for all volume-preserving classical normal form of Hopf-Zero singularity systems. This is a maximal vector space of classical normal forms with first integral; this is whence our approach works. Systems with a non-zero condition on their quadratic part are considered. The algebra of all first integrals for any such system has a unique (modulo scalar multiplication) generator. The infinite level volume-preserving parametric normal forms of any non-degenerate perturbation within the Lie algebra of any such system is computed, where it can have rich dynamics. The associated unique generator of the algebra of first integrals are computed. The symmetry group of the infinite level normal forms are also discussed. Some necessary formulas are derived and implemented on appropriately modified Rössler and generalized Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equations to demonstrate the applicability of our theoretical results.

Keywords: Volume-preserving; Normal form; First integral; Hopf-Zero singularity; Lie algebra.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34C20, 34A34, 16W50, 68U99.

---

1 Introduction

A Lie algebraic structure of a family of vector fields is important in the sense that the family is invariant under a group of permissible transformations generated by the Lie algebra. Thus, certain dynamical properties are preserved within the family. Therefore, in the study of dynamical systems, it is fundamentally useful to recognize nonlinear families of vector fields that have Lie algebra structure. Once this is accomplished, the transformation group makes a classification for the vector fields within the family. This classification can be computed through the infinite level normal form theory. This gives rise to the study of singular differential systems which has significantly contributed to the bifurcation and stability analysis of many systems with complex dynamics. A good practical knowledge on the Lie algebraic structure of any singularity is necessary for an efficient infinite level normal form computation. There are many results on the

---

* Corresponding author. Phone: (98-311) 3913634; Fax: (98-311) 3912602; Email: mgazor@cc.iut.ac.ir

infinite level normal forms of planar singularities. However, there are considerably less research results on the infinite level normal forms of three-dimensional state space singularities, where the system is already on its center manifold, see *e.g.,* [7, 8, 10, 29, 30]. The reason is that the Lie algebraic structure generated by three dimensional state space is more complicated than the Lie algebraic structure generated by planar state space. Findings on Lie subalgebras of a singular family of vector fields contribute to an understanding of the dynamical properties invariant under the transformation groups, generated by the Lie subalgebras. In other words, it is a fruitful tool for finding dominant subfamilies of singular vector fields. This also has an application for the study of systems with symmetry; *e.g.,* Z_q-symmetry, reversible, volume-preserving, conservative and dissipative systems. We introduce two versal Lie subalgebras of the Lie algebra generated by Hopf-Zero singularity. The family of vector fields from these subalgebras is associated with volume-preserving conservative systems.

The original motivation for this paper is the transformation of conservative Hopf-Zero singular systems into the infinite level conservative normal form systems. Conservative systems have many applications in real life problems and Hamiltonian systems are among the most prominent examples of conservative systems. There are substantial contributions on Hamiltonian systems in the literature. However, the Hamiltonian structure requires an even dimensionality of the state space. Thus, an eminent alternative for conservative Hopf-Zero singular systems on central manifold, *i.e.,* a three dimensional state space, is to consider vector fields with a first integral, also see [22] for relevant details. A normal form computation may not destroy certain symmetric structures (*e.g.,* volume-preserving, Hamiltonian) when the transformation group is generated by an appropriate Lie algebra of symmetric (volume-preserving, Hamiltonian) vector fields. However, the set of all classical normal Hopf-Zero singularities with a first integral is not closed under the Lie bracket and also is not a vector space. Therefore, the idea of this paper is not to consider the *set* of all vector fields with a first integral. Instead, we consider a maximal vector space (that is also maximal Lie algebra) of such vector fields. A noble property of this maximal Lie algebra is that it comprises of all volume-preserving Hopf-Zero classical normal forms. A second notable property comes from our upcoming results, that is, any Hopf-Zero singularity can be transformed into a sum of two normal form vector fields, one with a first integral from this algebra, and the other without any first integral, see [13, 14]. This provides a normal form decomposition of vector fields into conservative and nonconservative vector fields which has many outstanding applications in the global analysis of nonlinear dynamical systems such as Melnikov theory, *e.g.,* see [14, 26–28, 31]. A good understanding of both conservative and nonconservative families is enlightening for the dynamics study of the decomposed normal form.

A practical method for finding the generators of the algebra generated by first integrals of a given differential system is an indispensable subject. Our approach displays such fruitful method that works well for a large family of Hopf-Zero singular systems. The idea is to transform the system into the classical normal form and check if it is a volume-preserving normal form system. Then, our formulas derive the generators for the algebra of its first integrals. Therefore, this method is applicable for all Hopf-Zero singular systems that their classical normal form is divergent free.

Normal form computation is an essentially renowned tool for revealing hidden symmetries of the differential system and then, any further normalization must preserve the disclosed symmetries. Normal form computation method given in [13] may not work well for the family of volume-preserving conservative systems introduced in this paper. This is because the hypernormalization approach in [13] does not necessarily preserve the volume-preserving conservative structures. Thus, in our approach a vector field is first transformed into the classical normal form and then is decomposed into a sum of a volume-preserving conservative vector field and a nonconservative vector field from another Lie algebra that is constructed in [14]. Based on this observation, an appropriate hypernormalization approach is applied. This paper deals with the cases when the nonconservative part is zero. When the conservative part is zero, one must follow the method introduced in [14]. Finally for the cases of both nonzero conservative and nonconservative parts, the normal form computation in [13] is implemented.

In this paper we are concerned with computing the simplest (infinite level) normal form of the volume-preserving systems given by

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = \sum (k+1-l) a_k^l x^{l+1} (y^2+z^2)^{k-l}, \\ \dot{y} = -\sum \frac{(l+1)}{2} a_k^l x^l y (y^2+z^2)^{k-l} + \sum b_n^m x^m z (y^2+z^2)^{n-m}, \\ \dot{z} = -\sum \frac{(l+1)}{2} a_k^l x^l z (y^2+z^2)^{k-l} - \sum b_n^m x^m y (y^2+z^2)^{n-m}, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $-1 \leq l \leq k, 0 \leq k,\ 0 \leq m \leq n,\ (x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^3,\ a_k^l, b_n^m \in \mathbb{R},\ a_0^{-1} \neq 0, a_0^0 = 0$, and $b_0^0 = 1$. This system is the classical normal form of a large family of Hopf-Zero singularity systems with a first integral. The formal function

$$f(x,y,z) \ := \ \sum a_k^l x^{l+1} (y^2+z^2)^{k-l+1} \qquad (\text{where } -1 \leq l \leq k \text{ and } l+k \geq 1) \tag{1.2}$$

is a first integral for the system (1.1). The idea is to analyze the dynamics from the infinite level normal form and its first integral rather than working with the more complicated system and first integral (1.1–1.2).

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 discusses the notations and algebraic structures associated with the volume-preserving classical Hopf-Zero normal form with a first integral. We obtain the infinite level volume-preserving normal forms and associated first integrals in Section 3. Furthermore, a technique is demonstrated in which it enables one to change certain coefficients into non-algebraic numbers in order to facilitate elimination of certain terms. Then after some normal form computation, one changes them back into the desired numbers, see Remark 3.2. In Section 4, under some technical conditions, we prove that there exist invertible transformations sending any non-degenerate perturbation of the system (1.1) into a truncated infinite level parametric normal form (in cylindrical coordinates)

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} &= \rho^2 \pm x^{p+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{r} x^{n_i+1} \mu_i + \sum_{k=p+1}^{N} \alpha_k x^{k+1}, \\ \dot{\rho} &= \mp \frac{(p+1)}{2} x^p \rho - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{n_i+1}{2} x^{n_i} \rho \mu_i - \rho \sum_{k=p+1}^{N} \frac{(k+1)\alpha_k}{2} x^k, \\ \dot{\theta} &= 1 + \sum_{i=r+1}^{r+s} x^{m_{i-r}} \mu_i + \sum_{l=q}^{N} \beta_l x^l, \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

for some $r, s, p, q \geq 1, N-1 \geq n_i \geq -1$, and $N-1 \geq m_i \geq 1$ (see Equations (4.5–4.6)), where $\alpha_k = 0$ for $k \equiv p-1 \mod 2(p+1)$, and $\beta_l = 0$ for $l \equiv -1 \mod 2(p+1)$ and for $l \equiv p+q$

mod $2(p+1)$. Furthermore, the formal function

$$f(x,\rho)=\rho^2\Big(\frac{1}{2}\rho^2\pm x^{p+1}+\sum_{i=1}^{r}x^{n_i+1}\mu_i+\sum_{k=p}^{N}\alpha_k x^{k+1}\Big)$$

is the unique generator (modulo scalar multiplication) for the algebra of first integrals for the infinite level parametric normal form system (1.3), see Theorem 4.3. In Section 5 we provide the necessary formulas for a Hopf-Zero singular system whose cubic-truncated first level normal form is governed by Equation (1.1). Using these formulas, we first apply our approach to a modified Rössler equation and then to a symmetric system chosen from a commonly used family of generalized Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation.

2 Volume-preserving conservative vector fields

In this section, we provide the necessary algebraic structures for the infinite level volume-preserving normal form computation of Hopf-Zero singularity that have first integral, see [15, 23, 24] for terminology and original ideas. We denote

$$\begin{aligned} F_k^l &:= x^l(y^2+z^2)^{k-l}\Big((k+1-l)x\frac{\partial}{\partial x}-\frac{(l+1)}{2}y\frac{\partial}{\partial y}-\frac{(l+1)}{2}z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\Big), && (-1\le l\le k) \quad (2.1)\\ \Theta_k^l &:= x^l(y^2+z^2)^{k-l}z\frac{\partial}{\partial y}-x^l(y^2+z^2)^{k-l}y\frac{\partial}{\partial z}, && (0\le l\le k). \end{aligned}$$

The vector fields F_k^l and Θ_k^l can also be represented in cylindrical coordinates, but many first integrals for F_k^l only appear in terms of x,y,z, see Lemma 2.1. For a $0\neq a_0^{-1}\in\mathbb{R}$, let

$$\mathscr{L}:=\operatorname{span}\Big\{\Theta_0^0+a_0^{-1}F_0^{-1}+\sum a_k^lF_k^l+\sum b_n^m\Theta_n^m\,|\,k,n\ge 1,a_k^l,b_n^m\in\mathbb{R}\Big\},\qquad(2.2)$$

where $-1\le l\le k$ and $0\le m\le n$. These notations provide a tool to use a similar approach to the method developed by Baider and Sanders [3–4]. The space $\mathscr{L}$ is a Lie algebra by the Lie bracket $[v,w]=vw-wv$ for any $v,w\in\mathscr{L}$. Let

$$\mathfrak{T}:=\operatorname{span}\big\{\Theta_0^0+\sum b_k^l\Theta_k^l\,|\,0\le l\le k,1\le k,b_k^l\in\mathbb{R}\big\}.$$

This is equivalent to the space of phase components in cylindrical coordinates. The set of all formal first integrals for $v\in\mathscr{L}$ is a subalgebra of formal power series in terms of x,y,z. Since the algebra of formal power series is a Noetherian ring, it is finitely generated. We denote by $\langle p_1,p_2,\ldots,p_k\rangle$ the algebra generated by $p_1,p_2,\ldots,p_k\in\mathbb{R}[[x,y,z]]$, unless otherwise is stated.

Lemma 2.1. *For any l and k, let $\mathscr{F}_k^l$ be the algebra of formal first integrals for F_k^l. Then we have:*

- *The algebra of first integrals for any $0\neq v\in\mathfrak{T}$ is*

$$\langle x,y^2+z^2\rangle.$$

- *The algebra* $\mathscr{F}_k^l$ *is generated by monomials that their* x*-degree is* $(l+1)$ *and their* (y,z)*-degree is* $2(k+1-l)$, i.e.,

$$\mathscr{F}_k^l = \langle x^{l+1}y^{2i}z^{2(k+1-l)-2i} \,\big|\, i = 0, 1, \ldots, k+1-l\rangle.$$

- *For any* $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{R}$*, the algebra*

$$\langle x^{l+1}(y^2+z^2)^{k+1-l}\rangle$$

is the algebra of first integrals for $\Theta_0^0 + aF_k^l$*.*

Proof. Let g be a formal first integral for Θ_n^m. Then, $z\frac{\partial}{\partial y}g - y\frac{\partial}{\partial z}g = 0$ and $g = g(x, y^2+z^2) \in \langle x, y^2+z^2\rangle$. The second part is a straightforward computation. Since every formal first integral for $\Theta_0^0 + aF_k^l$ must be a first integral for Θ_0^0 (*e.g.,* see [22, Proposition 3]), any first integral for $\Theta_0^0 + aF_k^l$ belongs to $\langle x, y^2+z^2\rangle \cap \mathscr{F}_k^l$. Thus, the proof is complete. ☐

The above lemma suggests the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. *Let* $v = \Theta_0^0 + a_0^{-1}F_0^{-1} + \sum a_k^l F_k^l + \sum b_k^l \Theta_k^l \in \mathscr{L}$*, where* $a_0^{-1} \neq 0$*. Then, there exists a unique formal first integral* f *(modulo scalar multiplications) such that the algebra of first integrals for* v *is* $\langle f\rangle$*.*

Proof. Define

$$f := \int_0^1 \Big\langle v(tx, t^{\frac{1}{2}}y,\ t^{\frac{1}{2}}z), (y^2+z^2, -2xy, -2xz)\Big\rangle\, dt,$$

where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ denotes the usual inner product on $\mathbb{R}^3$. Then, $f = a_0^{-1}(y^2+z^2)^2 + \sum a_k^l x^{l+1}(y^2+z^2)^{k+1-l}$. The formal function f is a formal first integral for v because $v(f) = 0$. By [22, Proposition 3] any first integral for v lies in $\langle x, y^2+z^2\rangle$ and is also a first integral for $w := a_0^{-1}F_0^{-1} + \sum a_k^l F_k^l$. Let $g(x, y^2+z^2)$ be a first integral for w and $g = g_N + \cdots$, where g_N denotes the nonzero homogenous polynomial component of g with the least degree. Since $w(g) = 0$, the least degree of the expansion for $w(g)$ must be zero, *i.e.,* $F_0^{-1}(g_N) = 0$. By Lemma 2.1, $g_N \in \langle (y^2+z^2)^2\rangle$ and there exist natural number k and real number $a_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $N = 4k_1$ and $g_N = a_1(y^2+z^2)^{2k_1}$. Now let $\tilde{g}_1 := g - f^{k_1}$. Then, $\tilde{g}_1$ is also a first integral for w whose the least degree nonzero homogenous monomial has a degree of strictly greater than N. An induction argument proves that there exist real numbers $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and natural numbers k_i (for $i = 2, 3, \ldots$) such that $\tilde{g}_i := g - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_j f^{k_j}$. The proof is complete since $\tilde{g}_i$ is convergent to zero with respect to the filtration topology. ☐

Remark 2.3. Any $v \in \mathscr{L}$ has a first integral and is volume-preserving, *i.e.,* $\operatorname{div}(v) = 0$. However, $\mathscr{L}$ is not the *set* of all vector fields with a first integral. Indeed, any function of the form $g(y^2+z^2)$ is a first integral for

$$w_l := F_l^l + \frac{(l+1)}{2}x^l\Big(2x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\Big) = (l+2)x^{l+1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x},$$

for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$. However, the vector fields $w_l - F_l^l$ and

$$[w_5, F_3^2] = -21x^7(y^2+z^2)\Big(2x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\Big)$$

do not have any first integral and are not volume-preserving, see [14, Thm 2.3]. This implies that the set of all vector fields with a first integral is not closed under the Lie bracket and it is not a vector space. Indeed, any classical normal form with Hopf-Zero singularity is uniquely decomposed into a volume-preserving vector field (with a first integral) from $\mathscr{L}$ and a non-volume-preserving vector field (without any first integral), see [13]. This implies that $\mathscr{L}$ is the set of all volume-preserving Hopf-Zero classical normal forms.

The following lemma portrays the structure constants involved in this paper.

Lemma 2.4. *The following holds true.*

$$\begin{aligned}
[F_k^l, F_n^m] &= \big((m+1)(k+2)-(l+1)(n+2)\big)F_{k+n}^{l+m}, && \text{for } -1 \le l \le k, -1 \le m \le n,\\
[F_k^l, \Theta_n^m] &= \big(m(k+2)-n(l+1)\big)\Theta_{k+n}^{l+m}, && \text{for } -1 \le l \le k, 0 \le m \le n,\\
[\Theta_k^l, \Theta_n^m] &= 0, && \text{for } 0 \le l \le k, 0 \le m \le n.
\end{aligned}$$

The space $\mathfrak{T}$ is a nontrivial Lie ideal (and a trivial Lie subalgebra) for $\mathscr{L}$. The above lemma implies that the quotient Lie algebra $\frac{\mathscr{L}}{\mathfrak{T}}$ is Lie-isomorphic to a proper Lie subalgebra for the one-degree of freedom Hamiltonian vector fields, see [4, Thm 3.7] and [13] for more detailed discussion.

Notation 2.5. Throughout this paper, we use Pochhammer k-symbol notation, that is,

$$(a)_b^k := a\big(a+b\big)\big(a+2b\big)\dots\big(a+(k-1)b\big),$$

for any natural number k and real number b.

3 The infinite level normal forms

In this section, we obtain the simplest normal form of Hopf-Zero systems given by Equation (1.1). Let $v = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v_k$ be a Lie-graded expansion of $v \in \mathscr{L}$. Define $d^{k,1} : \mathscr{L}_k \to \mathscr{L}_k$ by $d^{k,1}(Y_k) = [Y_k, v_0]$ and then inductively define the maps $d^{k,n} : \mathscr{L}_k \times \ker d^{k,n-1} \to \mathscr{L}_k$ by

$$d^{k,n}(Y_k, Y_{k-1}, \dots, Y_{k-n+1}) := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}[Y_{k-i}, v_i], \quad \text{for } k \ge n.$$

For $k < n$, define $d^{k,n} := d^{n,n}$. A normal form style is a rule on how to choose a unique complement space $\mathcal{C}^{k,n}$ so that $\operatorname{Im} d^{k,n} \oplus \mathcal{C}^{k,n} = \mathscr{L}_k$. Then, for a given vector field v, a graded Lie algebra structure and a normal form style, there exists a formal invertible transformation that transforms v into its n-th (infinite or simplest) level normal form w where $w = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_k$ and $w_k \in \mathcal{C}^{k,n}$ ($w_k \in \mathcal{C}^{k,k}$) for all k, see [15] for details.

Lemma 3.1. *There exists an invertible transformation transforming $v^{(1)}$ into its second level normal form*

$$v^{(2)} = \Theta_0^0 + \alpha_0 F_0^{-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k^{(2)} F_k^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_k^{(2)} \Theta_k^k, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\alpha_0 = a_0^{-1}$.

Proof. Define a grading function by $\delta(F_k^l) := \delta(\Theta_k^l) := k$. Then, the result is deduced from $[F_0^{-1}, F_k^l] = 2(l+1)F_k^{l-1}$ and $[F_0^{-1}, \Theta_k^l] = 2l\Theta_k^{l-1}$. ☐

Note that by a linear change of state variable, we can remove Θ_0^0 from the system, see [14, Thm 4.1] and [23, Lemma 5.3.6]. Assume there exist $\alpha_k^{(2)} \neq 0$ (for $k \geq 1$) and let

$$p := \min\big\{k \mid \alpha_k^{(2)} \neq 0, k \geq 1\big\}. \tag{3.2}$$

Let $\alpha_0 := \frac{1}{2}$, $\alpha_p := \alpha_p^{(2)}$ and $\mathscr{X}_p := \frac{1}{2}F_0^{-1} + \alpha_p F_p^p$. Define a grading structure by

$$\delta(F_k^l) := p(k-l) + k \quad \text{and} \quad \delta(\Theta_k^l) := p(k-l) + k + p + 1.$$

Remark 3.2. For any $a, b \in \mathbb{F}, a, b > 0$, through linear changes of variables

$$t := \frac{1}{b\alpha_0}\Big(\frac{ab\alpha_0 \mathrm{sign}(\alpha_0\alpha_p)}{\alpha_p}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}\tau, x := \Big(\frac{ab\alpha_0 \mathrm{sign}(\alpha_0\alpha_p)}{\alpha_p}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p+1}} X, y := Y, \text{ and } z := Z,$$

we can transform $\mathscr{X}_p$ into $\mathscr{X}_p := bF_0^{-1} + a\,\mathrm{sign}(\alpha_p\alpha_0)F_p^p$. Consequently, the coefficient of F_0^{-1} and F_p^p can be arbitrarily chosen in the normal form computation. Thus without the loss of generality, we can choose $\alpha_0 := \frac{1}{2}$. Consequently, this enables the coefficient change of α_p into a non-algebraic number. We shall use this in Theorem 3.5 in order to simplify the system as desired, and then again we change it into $\alpha_p = \pm 1$. As a theoretical result, this may not violate the principles of normal form theory, but this needs more attention when it is implemented in a computer program. In fact irrational numbers are treated like rational numbers in computers because of computers' round off errors. This, however, does not hamper our results. Indeed for implementation of the results on any computer, one needs to truncate the system up to a certain degree and thus, one only needs to choose α_p to be distanced from the roots of a finite number of polynomials. For a case of Bogdanov-Takens singularity when certain ratio of coefficients is non-algebraic the simplest normal form is obtained while the case is still open for when it is algebraic, *e.g.,* see [18]. Hence, this technique is very useful wherever it is applicable. Indeed, we believe that it can be applied in different other cases, *e.g.,* see [13].

The following lemma introduces the transformation needed for elimination of the term Θ_n^m when $m < n$.

Lemma 3.3. *For natural numbers m and n, there is a δ-homogenous polynomial state solution $\mathcal{Y}_n^m$ such that*

$$\Theta_n^m + [\mathcal{Y}_n^m, \mathscr{X}_p] = \frac{{\alpha_p}^{n-m}\big(p(m-n+1)-n\big)\big(m+1+(m-n+1)(p+1)\big)_{p+1}^{n-m-1}}{(-2)^{n-m}(m+1)(m+2+p)_{p+1}^{n-m-1}}\,\Theta_{pn-pm+n}^{pn-pm+n}.$$

Proof. The proof is straightforward by

$$\mathcal{Y}_n^m := \sum_{i=0}^{n-m-1} \frac{(-1)^{i+1}{\alpha_p}^i\big(m+1+(m-n-1+2)(p+1)\big)_{2p+2}^i}{2^{i+1}(m+1)(m+p+2)_{p+1}^i}\Theta_{n+ip}^{m+ip+i+1}.$$

$\square$

Lemma 3.4. *The $(p+1)$-th level normal form of v associated with Equation (1.1) is*

$$v^{(p+1)} = \Theta_0^0 + \frac{1}{2}F_0^{-1} + \alpha_p F_p^p + \sum \alpha_k^{(p+1)} F_k^k + \sum \beta_k^{(p+1)}\Theta_k^k, \tag{3.3}$$

where the first summation is over $k \neq p-1 \mod 2(p+1)$ and the second summation is over $k \neq -1 \mod 2(p+1)$.

Proof. Since $F_k^k, \Theta_k^k \in \ker \mathrm{ad}_{F_0^1}$, we follow Baider and Sanders [5] and define $\mathscr{G} := \mathrm{ad}(F_0^1)\circ \mathrm{ad}(\mathscr{X}_p)$. Then,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathscr{G}(F_k^l) & = & 4(l+1)(l-k-2)F_k^l + 2\alpha_p(k+1-l)\big(p-l+(k-l)(p+1)\big)F_{p+k}^{p+l+1}, \\ \mathscr{G}(\Theta_n^m) & = & 4m(m-n-1)\Theta_n^m + 2\alpha_p(m-n)\big(m(p+2)-n(p+1)\big)\Theta_{p+n}^{p+m+1}. \end{array}$$

Let $\{F_k^l \,|\, -1 \leq l \leq k\}$ be an ordered basis for $\mathscr{G}$, where its ordering is partially defined by $F_k^l \prec F_n^m$ and $\Theta_k^l \prec \Theta_n^m$ if $k < n$. So, the matrix representation of $\mathscr{G}$ is lower triangular. Thus, for any natural number k there exist δ-homogenous polynomial vector fields

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{F}_k^{-1} & := \sum_{m=0}^{2k} \frac{{\alpha_p}^m(2k+1)_{-2}^m}{2^m(m+1)!}F_{2k+mp-1}^{mp+m-1}, & \delta(\mathcal{F}_k^{-1}) \equiv -1 \mod 2(p+1), \\ \mathcal{X}_p^k & := \sum_{m=0}^{k} \binom{k}{m}\frac{{\alpha_p}^{k-m}}{2^m}F_{2k+mp+2}^{m(p+1)-1}, & \delta(\mathcal{X}_p^k) \equiv p \mod 2(p+1), \\ \mathcal{T}_{k,p}^0 & := \sum_{m=0}^{k} \frac{{\alpha_p}^m(k)_2^m}{2^m m!}\Theta_{k+mp}^{m(p+1)}, & \delta(\mathcal{T}_{k,p}^0) \equiv 0 \mod p+1, \end{array}\right. \tag{3.4}$$

so that

$$\ker(\mathscr{G}) = \mathrm{span}\{\mathcal{F}_k^{-1}, \mathcal{X}_p^k, \mathcal{T}_k^0 \,|\, k \in \mathbb{N}\}. \tag{3.5}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} [\mathscr{X}_p, \mathcal{X}_p^k] & = & 0, \\ [\mathscr{X}_p, \mathcal{T}_{k,p}^0] & = & \dfrac{k(p+1){\alpha_p}^k(k)_{-2}^k}{2^k k!}\Theta_{k(p+1)+p}^{k(p+1)+p}, \\ [\mathscr{X}_p, \mathcal{F}_k^{-1}] & = & \dfrac{(1-2k){\alpha_p}^{2k}(2k-1)_{-2}^{2k-1}}{2^{2k}(2k)!}F_{2k(p+1)+p-1}^{2k(p+1)+p-1}. \end{array}$$

Thus, $F_m^m, \Theta_n^n \in \mathrm{Im}\, d^{m,p+1}$ for any $m \equiv p-1 \mod 2(p+1)$ and $n \equiv -1 \mod 2(p+1)$, where $m = n+p+1$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 3.5. *There exist invertible transformations (including linear time rescaling) sending v given by Equation (1.1) into the $(p+q+2)$-th level normal form system*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{ll} \dot{x} & = (y^2+z^2) \pm x^{p+1} + x^{p+1}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\alpha_{k+p}x^k, \\ \dot{y} & = zg(x) \mp \frac{(p+1)}{2}x^p y - x^p y\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(k+p+1)\alpha_{k+p}}{2}x^k, \\ \dot{z} & = -yg(x) \mp \frac{(p+1)}{2}x^p z - x^p z\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(k+p+1)\alpha_{k+p}}{2}x^k, \end{array}\right. \tag{3.6}$$

for $p, q \geq 1,$ *and*

$$g(x) := 1 + x^q \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_{q+k} x^k,$$

where $\alpha_{k+p} = 0$ *for* $k \equiv -1 \mod 2(p+1)$, *and* $\beta_{q+k} = 0$ *for* $k \equiv p \mod 2(p+1)$ *and for* $k \equiv -(q+1) \mod 2(p+1)$. *Furthermore, the* $(p+q+2)$*-th level normal form system (3.6) is the infinite level normal form. Let*

$$f(x, y, z) \;\; := \;\; (y^2+z^2)\big(\frac{1}{2}(y^2+z^2) \pm x^{p+1} + x^{p+1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{k+p} x^k\big).$$

and $\mathscr{F}$ *denote for the algebra of first integrals of* $v^{(\infty)}$. *Then,* $\mathscr{F} = \langle f \rangle$ *and the symmetry group of* $v^{(\infty)}$ *is generated by* $\mathscr{F}\Theta_0^0$.

Proof. Assume $\beta_i^{(p+1)} \neq 0$ for some $i \geq 1$. Then, define

$$q := \min\big\{i \,|\, \beta_i^{(p+1)} \neq 0, i \geq 1\big\}.$$

For any $k \geq 0$ we have $\mathcal{X}_p^{k+1} = \sum_{m=0}^{k+1} {\alpha_p}^m \binom{k+1}{m} F_{2k+mp}^{m(p+1)-1}$, and by Lemma 3.3 there exists a state solution Y such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\mathcal{X}_p^{k+1}, \Theta_q^q] + [Y, \mathscr{X}_p] \\
= \;\; & \sum_{m=0}^{k+1} \frac{q\binom{k+1}{m}(2k+2-m){\alpha_p}^{2k-m+1}\big(q+2(m-k)(p+1)\big)_{p+1}^{2k-m+1}}{(-1)^{m+1} 2^{2k-m+1}\big(q+j(p+1)\big)_{p+1}^{2k-m+1}} \Theta_{2k(p+1)+p+q}^{2k(p+1)+p+q}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Remark 3.2, without the loss of generality, we may assume that α_p is not an algebraic number and thus, $\Theta_m^m \in \operatorname{Im} d^{m+p+1,p+q+2}$, where $m \equiv p+q \mod 2(p+1)$. For $k = 2l$, we have

$$\mathcal{T}_{2l,p}^0 \;\; = \;\; (y^2+z^2)^l\big((y^2+z^2) + \alpha_p x^{p+1}\big)^l\big(z\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\big) \in \ker \operatorname{ad}_{\mathscr{X}_p}.$$

Then, $\mathcal{T}_{2l,p}^0$ is extended to a symmetry for $\mathscr{X}_p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{n} \alpha_k F_k^k$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, *i.e.*,

$$\mathcal{T}_{2l,n}^0 \;\; := \;\; (y^2+z^2)^l\big((y^2+z^2) + \sum_{k=p}^{n} \alpha_k x^{k+1}\big)^l\big(z\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\big) \in \ker \operatorname{ad}_{\mathscr{X}_p + \sum_{k=p}^{n} \alpha_k F_k^k}.$$

Since $\mathcal{T}_{2l,n}^0$ is convergent to $f^l \Theta_0^0$ with respect to filtration topology, the proof is complete. □

4 Parametric normal forms

In this section, we deal with the parametric normal form of a multiple-parametric perturbation of the system (1.1). Roughly speaking, this section provides an infinite level parametric normal form for the miniversal unfolding for the system (1.1), also see [11, 15, 16, 24, 25] and

Remark 4.2. Since nonlinear time rescaling destroys the symmetry of the system (*i.e.*, volume-preserving), we do not use nonlinear time rescaling. However, parametric time rescaling is permitted when it does not depend on the state variables. Consider a parametric vector field

$$w(x,y,z,\mu) := \sum a^{l}_{k,\mathbf{n}} F^{l}_{k} \mu^{\mathbf{n}} + \sum b^{i}_{j,\mathbf{n}} \Theta^{i}_{j} \mu^{\mathbf{n}}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_m)$, $n_j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n_m$, $\sum_{j=1}^{m} n_j \geq 0, k \geq -1, k \geq l \geq -1, j \geq i \geq 0$, $b^{0}_{0,\mathbf{0}} \neq 0, a^{-1}_{0,\mathbf{0}} \neq 0$, $a^{-1}_{-1,\mathbf{0}} = 0$, and $a^{0}_{0,\mathbf{0}} = 0$. We call any parametric vector field w given in Equation (4.1), a multi-parametric deformation for v when $v = w(x, y, z, \mathbf{0})$. By a similar argument to Lemma 3.1 and a parametric time rescaling, any multi-parametric deformation w for v associated with Equation (1.1) can be transformed to the second level extended partial parametric normal form

$$w^{(2)} = \sum \beta^{(2)}_{0,\mathbf{n}} \Theta^{0}_{0} \mu^{\mathbf{n}} + \sum \alpha^{(2)}_{0,\mathbf{n}} F^{-1}_{0} \mu^{\mathbf{n}} + \sum \alpha^{(2)}_{k,\mathbf{n}} F^{k}_{k} \mu^{\mathbf{n}} + \sum \beta^{(2)}_{j,\mathbf{n}} \Theta^{j}_{j} \mu^{\mathbf{n}},$$

where $\beta^{(2)}_{0,\mathbf{0}} = 1, \alpha_{0,\mathbf{n}} = \frac{1}{2}$, $k \geq -1$, and $j \geq 1$. Following a similar argument to [14, Thm 4.1] and [23, Lemma 5.3.6], we consider a parametric change of state variable $[x, y, z] := exp\big((Y\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - Z\frac{\partial}{\partial y})th(\mu)\big)[X, Y, Z]$, where $h(\mu) = 1 + \sum \beta^{(2)}_{0,\mathbf{n}} \mu^{\mathbf{n}}$ and $[x, y, z] := x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ denotes the new variables and $[X, Y, Z]$ stands for the old variables. Once all linear terms are omitted, using a parametric time rescaling, we can transform $w^{(2)}$ into

$$\tilde{w}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} F^{-1}_{0} + \sum \tilde{\alpha}^{(2)}_{k,\mathbf{n}} F^{k}_{k} \mu^{\mathbf{n}} + \sum \tilde{\beta}^{(2)}_{j,\mathbf{n}} \Theta^{j}_{j} \mu^{\mathbf{n}}, \tag{4.2}$$

where $k \geq -1$ and $j \geq 1$. Let $\tilde{\alpha}^{(2)}_{k,\mathbf{0}} \neq 0$ for some $k \geq 1$, and $\tilde{\beta}^{(2)}_{j,\mathbf{0}} \neq 0$ for some $j \geq 1$ and denote

$$p := \min \big\{ k \,|\, \tilde{\alpha}^{(2)}_{k,\mathbf{0}} \neq 0, k \geq 1 \big\} \text{ and } \ q := \min \big\{ j \,|\, \tilde{\beta}^{(2)}_{j,\mathbf{0}} \neq 0, j \geq 1 \big\}.$$

We define the grading function by

$$\delta(F^{l}_{k} \mu^{\mathbf{n}}) := p(k-l) + k + (p+q+3)|\mathbf{n}| \ \text{ and } \ \delta(\Theta^{l}_{k} \mu^{\mathbf{n}}) := p(k-l) + k + p + 1 + (p+q+3)|\mathbf{n}|. \tag{4.3}$$

Lemma 4.1. *There exist invertible changes of variables that they transform* $\tilde{w}^{(2)}$ *given by Equation (4.2) into the* $(p+q+2)$*-th level extended partial parametric normal form*

$$w^{(p+q+2)} := \frac{1}{2} F^{-1}_{0} + \sum \alpha_{k,\mathbf{n}} F^{k}_{k} \mu^{\mathbf{n}} + \sum \beta_{j,\mathbf{n}} \Theta^{j}_{j} \mu^{\mathbf{n}}, \tag{4.4}$$

where $k \geq -1, j \geq 1, \alpha_{k,\mathbf{0}} = 0$ *for all* $k < p$, $\alpha_{p,\mathbf{0}} = \pm 1$, $\alpha_{k,\mathbf{n}} = 0$ *for* $k \equiv p - 1 \mod 2(p + 1)$ *and* $\beta_{k,\mathbf{n}} = 0$ *for both* $k \equiv -1 \mod 2(p+1)$ *and* $k \equiv -(q + 1) \mod 2(p + 1)$.

Proof. The proof is straightforward by similar arguments in the proofs of Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 3.5. Note that the number q must be updated in the $(p + 1)$-th level normal form by $q := \min \big\{ j \,|\, \beta^{(p+1)}_{j,\mathbf{0}} \neq 0, j \geq 1 \big\}$. □

By omitting terms of degree higher (standard degree of polynomials) than or equal to $n+1$ of a vector field v, we obtain its n-degree truncated (n-jet) vector field and denote it by $J^n(v)$.

For any natural number N, let $k := \lfloor \frac{N}{2(p+1)} \rfloor$ and $l := N - 2k(p+1)$ (where $\lfloor a \rfloor$ denotes the integer part of the real number a) and $r := k(2p+1) + l + 2$. Denote n_j $(j = 2, \ldots, r)$ for all natural numbers in which

$$-1 \leq n_j \leq N-1 \text{ and for all } n_j > p \text{ we have } n_j \neq p-1 \mod 2(p+1). \tag{4.5}$$

Denote m_k $(k = 1, \ldots s)$ for all natural numbers

$$1 \leq m_k \leq N \text{ where } m_k \neq -1 \mod 2(p+1) \text{ and } m_k \neq p+q \mod 2(p+1). \tag{4.6}$$

Obviously $n_1 := -1, n_2 := 0, n_3 := 1$ and $m_1 := 1$. Now for the parametric normal form $w^{(p+q+2)}$ given in Lemma 4.1 we denote

$$A_N := (a_{ij}), \text{ where } a_{ij} = \alpha^{(p+1)}_{n_j, \mu_i} \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, r, \text{ and } a_{ij} = \beta^{(p+1)}_{m_{j-r}, \mu_i} \text{ for } j = r+1, \ldots, r+s.$$

The truncated normal form $J^{N+1}(w^{(p+q+2)})$ is called a *non-degenerate perturbation* when

$$\operatorname{rank}(A_N) = r + s. \tag{4.7}$$

Remark 4.2. When $N = p$, a transformation of the form $x = X + \epsilon$ (for an appropriate formal function $\epsilon = \epsilon(\mu)$) can be applied on Equation (4.4) in order to simplify $\sum \alpha_{p-1,\mathbf{n}} F^{p-1}_{p-1} \mu^{\mathbf{n}}$. Then, this reduces the number of parameters left at the truncated parametric normal form. Thereby, one needs a weaker rank condition than the rank condition (4.7) in order that a p-degree truncated parametric normal form system would be parametric generic. Indeed, the sequence n_j skips $p-1$ and we have $r := k(2p+1) + l + 1$. Then, $\operatorname{rank}(A_p) := r + s$. These types of transformations have been implemented by Murdock and Malonza [25]. However, we shall not use them in this paper.

The condition (4.7) guarantees that a reparametrization sends the parametric vector field $J^{N+1}(w^{(p+q+2)})$ into the $(N+1)$-degree truncated infinite level parametric normal form

$$J^{N+1}(w^{(\infty)}) := \frac{1}{2} F^{-1}_0 \pm F^p_p + \sum_{i=1}^{r} F^{n_i}_{n_i} \mu_i + \sum_{n=p+1}^{N} \alpha_n F^n_n + \sum_{k=q}^{N} \beta_k \Theta^k_k + \sum_{i=r+1}^{r+s} \Theta^{m_{i-r}}_{m_{i-r}} \mu_i. \tag{4.8}$$

This implies the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3. *There exist formal invertible changes of state variables and parametric time rescalings that send any non-degenerate deformation of the system (1.1) into the $(p+q+2)$-th level parametric normal form $w^{(p+q+2)}$, and then a reparametrization sends $J^{N+1}(w^{(p+q+2)})$ into the $(N+1)$-degree truncated infinite level parametric normal form*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \dot{x} & = (y^2 + z^2) \pm x^{p+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{r} x^{n_i+1} \mu_i + x^{p+1} \sum_{k=1}^{N-p} \alpha_{k+p} x^k, \\ \dot{y} & = z g(x) \mp \frac{(p+1)}{2} x^p y - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{n_i+1}{2} x^{n_i} y \mu_i - x^p y \sum_{k=1}^{N-p} \frac{(k+p+1)\alpha_{k+p}}{2} x^k, \\ \dot{z} & = -y g(x) \mp \frac{(p+1)}{2} x^p z - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{n_i+1}{2} x^{n_i} z \mu_i - x^p z \sum_{k=1}^{N-p} \frac{(k+p+1)\alpha_{k+p}}{2} x^k, \end{array} \right. \tag{4.9}$$

for

$$g(x) \;:=\; 1+\sum_{i=r+1}^{r+s} x^{m_{i-r}}\mu_i + x^q \sum_{k=0}^{N+1-q} \beta_{q+k}x^k, \quad p,q\geq 1,$$

where $\alpha_k=0$ *for* $k\equiv p-1 \mod 2(p+1)$*, and* $\beta_l=0$ *for* $l\equiv -1 \mod 2(p+1)$ *and for* $l\equiv p+q \mod 2(p+1)$*. Let* $\mathscr{F}$ *be the algebra of first integral for* $w^{(\infty)}$*. Then,*

$$\mathscr{F} \;=\; \Big\langle (y^2+z^2)\Big(\frac{1}{2}(y^2+z^2)\pm x^{p+1}+\sum_{i=1}^{r} x^{n_i+1}\mu_i + x^{p+1}\sum_{k=1}^{N-p}\alpha_{k+p}x^k\Big)\Big\rangle.$$

The parametric symmetry group of $w^{(\infty)}$ *is generated by* $\mathscr{F}\Theta_0^0$*.*

Proof. The proof is complete by applying a linear change of state variables $[x,y,z]:=\exp((Y\frac{\partial}{\partial z}-Z\frac{\partial}{\partial y})t)[X,Y,Z]$ on $w^{(p+q+2)}$, where X,Y,Z are the new variables and x,y,z show the old variables. This puts the omitted linear part Θ_0^0 back into the system. □

5 Examples

In this section, we first derive several relations between coefficients that are enough so that the cubic-degree truncated classical normal form system would be in $\mathscr{L}$. We also obtain some useful formulas for the infinite level normal forms of a generic cubic classical normal form system $v\in\mathscr{L}$. Then, we apply these relations to obtain a one-parameter family of modified Rössler and a one-parameter family of generalized Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equations. Then, we apply our results on these systems. The system of Hopf-Zero singularity can have very rich dynamics. Some major contributions have been made in the literature, see *e.g.,* [9, 17, 19–21]. However, there are many degenerate or symmetric cases that their dynamics have not yet been investigated. The dynamics study of our examples is performed in [12].

Consider a differential system governed by

$$\begin{pmatrix}\dot{x}\\ \dot{y}\\ \dot{z}\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0\\0&0&1\\0&-1&0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}x\\y\\z\end{pmatrix}+\sum_{2\leq i+j+k}\begin{pmatrix}a_{ijk}\\b_{ijk}\\c_{ijk}\end{pmatrix}x^iy^jz^k. \tag{5.1}$$

Let $v^{(1)}$ denote the cubic-truncated classical normal form of the system (5.1). Then, the following three relations are the necessary conditions for $v^{(1)}$ to be in $\mathscr{L}$.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a_{002}+b_{011}+c_{101}, \qquad (5.2)\\ 0 &= 2a_{003}+3b_{012}+3c_{102}-b_{002}(3b_{110}-4a_{101}+6c_{200})-c_{002}(4a_{011}-6b_{020}-3c_{110}),\\ 0 &= b_{020}+16a_{021}+2b_{210}+2c_{200}+2c_{020}+8a_{102}-16b_{020}a_{101}+16c_{020}a_{011}\\ &\quad -7c_{011}(a_{200}-a_{020})-16a_{110}(c_{101}+7b_{011})-b_{101}(7a_{200}-15a_{020})\\ &\quad -2b_{200}(b_{110}+2c_{200}+2b_{020}+8a_{101})+2c_{200}(c_{110}+8a_{110}+8a_{011}). \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$v^{(1)} = \Theta_0^0 + \alpha_0 F_0^{-1} + a_1^1 F_1^1 + a_1^0 F_1^0 + a_2^2 F_2^2 + b_1^1 \Theta_1^1 + b_2^0 \Theta_1^0 + b_2^2 \Theta_2^2, \tag{5.3}$$

where $\alpha_0 = \frac{1}{2} a_{020} + \frac{1}{2} a_{200}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1^1 &= \frac{1}{2} b_{011} + \frac{1}{2} c_{101}, \\
a_1^0 &= \frac{1}{32}\big(b_{020} + 2b_{210} + 2c_{200} + 2c_{020} + c_{011}(a_{200} - a_{020}) + a_{110}(b_{011} - c_{101})\big) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{16} c_{200}(c_{110} - 2b_{200}) + \frac{1}{32} b_{101}(a_{200} - a_{020}) - \frac{1}{16} b_{200}(b_{110} + 2b_{020}), \\
a_2^2 &= \frac{1}{2}\big(c_{102} + b_{012} + c_{002}(c_{110} - 2a_{011} + 2b_{020}) + b_{002}(2a_{101} - 2c_{200} - b_{110})\big).
\end{aligned}$$

These equations are derived from the fundamentally useful formulas given in [1], where explicit formulas for b_1^1, b_2^0, and b_2^2 are also given.

Proposition 5.1. *Consider the vector field*

$$v := \Theta_0^0 + \alpha_0 F_0^{-1} + a_1^0 F_1^0 + a_1^1 F_1^1 + a_2^2 F_2^2 + b_1^1 \Theta_1^1 + b_1^0 \Theta_1^0 + b_2^2 \Theta_2^2,$$

where $\alpha_0, a_1^1 \neq 0$. *Then, the infinite level normal form is governed by*

$$v^{\infty} := \Theta_0^0 + \frac{1}{2} F_0^{-1} \pm F_1^1 \pm \frac{\alpha_0 a_2^2}{\sqrt{2} a_1^1 |\alpha_0 a_1^1|^{\frac{1}{2}}} F_2^2 + \frac{a_1^0 a_2^2}{8 {a_1^1}^2} F_3^3 \pm \frac{b_1^1}{a_1^1} \Theta_1^1 \pm \frac{4 b_2^2 \alpha_0 + a_1^0 b_1^1}{4\sqrt{2} a_1^1 |\alpha_0 a_1^1|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Theta_2^2,$$

where ± 1 *represents* $\operatorname{sign}(\alpha_0 a_1^1)$.

Proof. By state change of variables, we can transform the system into

$$\tilde{v} := \alpha_0 F_0^{-1} + a_1^1 F_1^1 + a_2^2 F_2^2 + \frac{a_1^0 a_2^2}{4\alpha_0} F_3^3 + b_1^1 \Theta_{1,1} + \frac{4 b_2^2 \alpha_0 + a_1^0 b_1^1}{4\alpha_0} \Theta_2^2.$$

The linear changes of variables

$$t := \Big(\frac{2\operatorname{sign}(\alpha_0 a_1^1)}{\alpha_0 a_1^1}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tau, x := \Big(\frac{\alpha_0 \operatorname{sign}(\alpha_0 a_1^1)}{2 a_1^1}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} X, y := Y, \text{ and } z := Z$$

transform this system into the desired form, where a second linear changes of variables is needed to add Θ_0^0 back into the system. ☐

Example 5.2. Consider a modified Rössler equation governed by

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{x} &= -y - z + d y^2, \\
\dot{y} &= x + a y + e z^3, \\
\dot{z} &= b x - c z + x z + r z^3.
\end{aligned}$$

The parameter values $d = e = r = 0$ gives rise to the well-known Rössler equation and for two sets of parameter values, it has Hopf-Zero singularity at origin; one is for parameter values $a = c, b = 1, a^2 < 2$, while the other has simple dynamics, see [1]. Hence, we choose

$$a := c, b := 1, d := a^2 - 1, \text{ and } e := -2a^3 - \frac{13}{17}r + \frac{15}{17}ra^2 + \frac{5}{17}a,$$

where

$$r := \frac{-891a^5 + 510a^2 - 1316a^3 + 1058a + 510a^7 - 170a^4 - 340}{15(a^2-2)(15a^4 - 2a^2 - 96)} \text{ and } -\sqrt{2} < a < \sqrt{2}.$$

Then, the cubic-truncated classical normal form is given by

$$v^{(1)} = \Theta_0^0 + \alpha_0 F_0^{-1} + a_1^1 F_1^1 + a_1^0 F_1^0 + a_2^2 F_2^2 + b_1^0 \Theta_1^0 + b_1^1 \Theta_1^1 + b_2^2 \Theta_2^2, \tag{5.4}$$

where

$$\alpha_0 := -\frac{a}{\sqrt{2-a^2}}, a_1^1 := \frac{a}{2\sqrt{2-a^2}}, a_2^2 := \frac{15a^7 - 24a^5 - 5a^4 - 39a^3 + 15a^2 + 17a - 10}{2.5\,(15a^4 - 2a^2 - 96)(2-a^2)^{3/2}},$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_1^0 &:= \frac{15a^9 + 577a^7 + 60a^6 - 1788a^5 - 420a^4 + 504a^3 + 840a^2 + 240a - 480}{40(15a^4 - 2a^2 - 96)(2-a^2)^{3/2}}, \\ b_1^0 &:= \frac{4185a^8 - 7671a^6 - 1020a^5 - 12331a^4 + 3060a^3 + 19938a^2 - 2040a - 15840}{-240(a^2-2)^2(15a^4 - 2a^2 - 96)}, \\ b_2^2 &:= \frac{17235a^8 - 28066a^6 - 2720a^5 - 72356a^4 + 8160a^3 + 96888a^2 - 5440a - 32640}{-320(a^2-2)^2(15a^4 - 2a^2 - 96)}, \end{aligned}$$

and $b_1^1 := \frac{3a^2-1}{4a^2-2}$. Then, the infinite level normal form for any arbitrary $a \neq 0$ $(a^2 < 2)$ is obtained through Proposition 5.1.

Example 5.3. Consider a generalized family of Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation given by

$$h_t + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} G(h, h_x, h_{xx}) = 0, \tag{5.5}$$

where

$$G(h, h_x, h_{xx}) := 2h^2 + h_x + h_{xxx} + a{h_x}^2 + b{h_{xx}}^2 + c{h_x}^2 h_{xx} + d h_{xx} h^2.$$

A common approach is to consider traveling wave solutions $h(x,t) = u(x + \alpha t)$. Then, this gives rise to an ordinary differential equation

$$\alpha u' + 4uu' + u'' + u^{(4)} + 2au'u'' + 2bu''u^{(3)} + 2cu'u''^2 + c(u')^2 u^{(3)} + 2duu'u'' + du^2 u''' = 0.$$

Integrating this equation (assuming, $u = 0$ is a solution for all α), we have

$$\alpha u + 2u^2 + u' + u^{(3)} + a(u')^2 + b(u'')^2 + c(u_x)^2 u_{xx} + du_{xx}u^2 = 0. \tag{5.6}$$

Let $\alpha := 0$ (this is to get a Hopf-Zero singularity), and $v := (v_1, v_2, v_3) = (u, u', u'')$. Then, using a linear change of complex variables, we may send the system to

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} &= -(x+y+z)^2\big(2+d(y+z)\big) - (y-z)^2\big(a-c(y+z)\big) + b(y+z)^2, \\ \dot{y} &= iy + (x+y+z)^2\big(1+\frac{d}{2}(y+z)\big) + \frac{1}{2}(y-z)^2\big(a-c(y+z)\big) - \frac{b}{2}(y+z)^2, \\ \dot{z} &= -iz + (x+y+z)^2\big(1+\frac{d}{2}(y+z)\big) + \frac{1}{2}(y-z)^2\big(a-c(y+z)\big) - \frac{b}{2}(y+z)^2, \end{cases}$$

where $(0,0,0)$ is an equilibrium with eigenvalues $\{0, \pm i\}$. The parameter values $a = b = c = d = 0$ leads to the well-known Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation, where it is a volume-preserving system. Chang [6] remarked that the quadratic-truncated classical normal form of Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation is in $\mathscr{L}$, *i.e.,* a volume-preserving vector field with a first integral. However, classical normal forms of volume-preserving Hopf-Zero singular systems are not generally a volume-preserving system. Indeed, a higher degree-truncated normal form of Kuramoto-Sivashinsky system is not volume-preserving and also does not have a first integral. Thus, we consider parameter values

$$b := 3a, c := \frac{7}{975}(31+859a-906a^2), \text{and } d := -\frac{11}{7}c + 10a - 10a^2. \tag{5.7}$$

Then the cubic-truncated classical normal form $v^{(1)}$ and its infinite level normal form $v^{(\infty)}$ are as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} v^{(1)} \quad &:= \quad \Theta_0^0 + (a-2)F_0^{-1} + \frac{176a^2+161a-1}{1300}F_1^0 + (1-a)F_1^1 + \frac{1162a^2-1068a-62}{325}F_2^2 \\ &\quad\quad + \Big(\frac{14333}{62400} + \frac{5737a}{62400} - \frac{1013a^2}{3900}\Big)\Theta_1^0 + \frac{1}{2}a\Theta_1^1 + \frac{1901a^2-4689a+2399}{1300}\Theta_2^2, \\ v_{\pm}^{\infty} \quad &= \quad \Theta_0^0 + \frac{1}{2}F_0^{-1} \pm F_1^1 \pm \frac{a}{2(1-a)}\Theta_1^1 \pm \frac{\sqrt{2}(15384a^3-67767a^2+94215a-38384)}{-20800(a-1)\sqrt{|(a-2)(a-1)|}}\Theta_2^2 \\ &\quad\quad - \frac{\sqrt{2}(a-2)(581a^2-534a-31)}{325(a-1)\sqrt{|(a-2)(a-1)|}}F_2^2 + \frac{(176a^2+161a-1)(581a^2-534a-31)}{1690000(a-1)^2}F_3^3, \end{aligned}$$

where $v^{(\infty)} := v_+^{(\infty)}$ for $a \in (1,2)$, and for $a < 1$ or $a > 2$ we have $v^{(\infty)} := v_-^{(\infty)}$.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge Professors J. Murdock and J. A. Sanders's generous help and useful discussions during summer visits of the first author and via numerous e-mails. Without their help, this could have never been accomplished in its current form.

References

[1] A. Algaba, E. Freire, E. Gamero, *Hypernormal form for the Hopf-Zero bifurcation,* Internat. J. Bifur. Chaos Appl. Sci. Engrg. **8** (1998) 1857–1887.

[2] A. Algaba, E. Freire, E. Gamero, A.J. Rodríguez-Luis, *A three-parameter study of a degenerate case of the Hopf-pitchfork bifurcation,* Nonlinearity **12** (1999) 1177–1206.

[3] A. Baider, R. C. Churchill, *Unique normal forms for planar vector fields,* Math. Z. **199** (1988) 303–310.

[4] A. Baider, J.A. Sanders, *Further reductions of the Takens-Bogdanov normal form,* J. Differential Equations **99** (1992) 205–244.

[5] A. Baider, J.A. Sanders, *Unique normal forms: The nilpotent Hamiltonian case,* J. Differential Equations **92** (1991) 282–304.

[6] H. Chang, *Traveling waves on fluid interfaces: Normal form analysis of the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation,* Phys. Fluids **29** (1986) 3142–3147.

[7] G. Chen, D. Wang, J. Yang, *Unique normal forms for Hopf-Zero vector fields,* C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **336** (2003) 345–348.

[8] G. Chen, D. Wang, J. Yang, *Unique orbital normal form for vector fields of Hopf-Zero singularity,* J. Dynam. Differential Equations **17** (2005) 3–20.

[9] C.A. Buzzi, M.A. Teixeira, J. Yang, *Hopf-Zero bifurcations of reversible vector fields,* Nonlinearity **14** (2001) 623–638.

[10] E. Gamero, E. Freire, A.J. Rodríguez-Luis, E. Ponce, A. Algaba, *Hypernormal form calculation for triple-Zero degeneracies,* Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. **6** (1999) 357–368.

[11] B. Gao, W. Zhang, *Parametric normal forms of vector fields and their further simplification,* Nonlinearity **23** (2010) 2539–2557.

[12] M. Gazor, F. Mokhtari, *Bifurcation analysis for a conservative family of Hopf-Zero singularity,* in progress.

[13] M. Gazor, F. Mokhtari, *The simplest normal form of Hopf-Zero singularity,* preprint.

[14] M. Gazor, F. Mokhtari, J.A. Sanders, *Normal forms for Hopf-Zero singularities with nonconservative nonlinear part,* under review. arXiv:1112.2544v2, December 19, 2011.

[15] M. Gazor, P. Yu, *Spectral sequences and parametric normal forms,* J. Differential Equations **252** (2012) 1003–1031.

[16] M. Gazor, P. Yu, *Formal decomposition method and parametric normal forms,* Internat. J. Bifur. Chaos Appl. Sci. Engrg. **11** (2010) 3487–3515.

[17] J. Harlim, W.F. Langford, *The cusp-Hopf bifurcation,* Internat. J. Bifur. Chaos Appl. Sci. Engrg. **17** (2007) 2547–2570.

[18] H. Kokubu, H. Oka, D. Wang, *Linear Grading Function and Further Reduction of Normal Forms,* J. Differential Equations **132** (1996) 293–318.

[19] W.F. Langford, *Periodic and steady-state mode interactions lead to tori,* SIAM J. Appl. Math. **37** (1979) 649–686.

[20] W.F. Langford, *A review of interactions of Hopf and steady-state bifurcations,* Nonlinear Dynamics and Turbulence, Interaction Mech. Math. Ser., Pitman, Boston, MA (1983) 215–237.

[21] W.F. Langford, *Hopf bifurcation at a hysteresis point,* Differential Equations: Qualitative Theory, Colloq. Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai, **47** (North Holland), (1984) 649–686.

[22] J. Llibre, C. Pantazi, S. Walcher, *First integrals of local analytic differential systems,* Bull. Sci. Math. (2011) doi:10.1016/j.bulsci.2011.10.003.

[23] J. Murdock, "Normal Forms and Unfoldings for Local Dynamical Systems," Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.

[24] J. Murdock, *Hypernormal form theory: foundations and algorithms,* J. Differential Equations **205** (2004) 424–465.

[25] J. Murdock, D. Malonza, *An improved theory of asymtotic unfoldings,* J. Differential Equations **247** (2009) 685–709.

[26] J. Palacián, *Dissipative-Hamiltonian decomposition of smooth vector fields based on symmetries,* Chaos **15** (2005) no. 3, 033111, 10 pp.

[27] J. Palacián, P. Yanguas, *Reduction of polynomial Hamiltonians by the construction of formal integrals,* Nonlinearity **13** (2000) 1021–1054.

[28] J. Palacián, P. Yanguas, *Reduction of polynomial planar Hamiltonians with quadratic unperturbed part,* SIAM Rev. **42** (2000) 671–691.

[29] P. Yu, Y. Yuan, *The simplest normal form for the singularity of a pure imaginary pair and a zero eigenvalue,* Dyn. Contin. Discrete Impuls. Syst. Ser. B Appl. Algorithms **8** (2001) 219–249.

[30] P. Yu, Y. Yuan, *The simplest normal forms associated with a triple zero eigenvalue of indices one and two,* Nonlinear Analysis **47** (2001) 1105–1116.

[31] S. Wiggins, "Introduction to Applied Nonlinear Dynamical Systems and Chaos," Texts in Applied Mathematics, Vol. 2, Springer, Berlin, 1990.